

Math Logic: Model Theory & Computability

Lecture 19

Propositional/Boolean axioms. For all σ -formulas φ, ψ, η , the following are axioms:

$$(1) \varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$$

Remark. This is equivalent to $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \varphi$, which is obviously true in every structure.

$$(2) (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \eta)) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \eta)).$$

Remark. This is equiv. to $(([(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge ((\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \eta)] \wedge \varphi) \rightarrow \eta$.

$$(3) \text{Proof-by-contradiction: } (\neg \varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [(\neg \varphi \rightarrow \neg \psi) \rightarrow \varphi].$$

Remark. This is equiv. to $[(\neg \varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge (\neg \varphi \rightarrow \neg \psi)] \rightarrow \varphi$.

Quantifier axioms. For all σ -formulas φ, ψ, η , for all variables v , and for all σ -terms t that are OK to plug-in for v in φ , the following are axioms:

$$(4) \text{Instantiation: } (\forall v \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi(t/v).$$

$$(5) \text{Generalization: } \varphi \rightarrow (\forall v \varphi).$$

Def. For an extended σ -formula $\varphi(\vec{x})$, we say that a σ -structure \underline{A} satisfies φ , and write $\underline{A} \models \varphi$, if $\underline{A} \models \forall \vec{x} \varphi$. This explains Axiom (5).

Equality axioms.

(6) Equality is an equivalence relation: For all variables x, y, z , the following are axioms:

(6.a) Reflexivity: $x = x$.

(6.b) Symmetry: $(x = y) \rightarrow (y = x)$.

(6.c) Transitivity: $(x = y \wedge y = z) \rightarrow x = z$.

(7) Functions respect equality: For each k -ary $f \in \text{Func}(\sigma)$ and k -tuples $\vec{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $\vec{y} := (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ of variables, the following is an axiom:

$$\vec{x} = \vec{y} \rightarrow f(\vec{x}) = f(\vec{y}),$$

where by $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ we mean $x_1 = y_1 \wedge x_2 = y_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k = y_k$, and the whole formula above is an abbreviation for

$$x_1 = y_1 \rightarrow (x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow x_k = y_k \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(y_1, \dots, y_k))).$$

(8) Relations respect equality: For each k -ary $R \in \text{Rel}(\sigma)$ and k -tuples $\vec{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $\vec{y} := (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ of variables, the following is an axiom:

$$\vec{x} = \vec{y} \rightarrow (R(\vec{x}) \rightarrow R(\vec{y})).$$

Rule of inference: Modus Ponens: For all σ -formulas φ, ψ , the rule is:

$$\varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \xrightarrow{\text{MP}} \psi.$$

We would say that ψ is obtained by MP from φ and $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$.

E.g. All humans are mortal, Socrates is a human, therefore Socrates is mortal.

Obs. All axioms in Axiom(σ) hold in every σ -structure, and Modus Ponens preserves this.

Proof. Let $\underline{A} := (A, \sigma)$ be a σ -structure and let φ, ψ be σ -formulas. We show that (1) $\theta := (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$ holds in \underline{A} . Let $\vec{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ such that $\theta(\vec{x})$ is an extended formula. To show that it holds in \underline{A} , we fix an arbitrary $\vec{a} \in A^k$ and show that $\underline{A} \models \theta(\vec{a})$. Suppose $\underline{A} \models \varphi(\vec{a})$, then clearly $\underline{A} \models \varphi(\vec{a}) \rightarrow \varphi(\vec{a})$ by the definition of interpretation of \rightarrow . (Indeed, $\eta \rightarrow \zeta$ holds, by definition, if ζ holds or η fails, i.e. $\neg \eta \vee \zeta$.) The proofs for the rest of the axioms are similar, and clearly Modus Ponens preserves satisfiability again by the def. of interpretation of \rightarrow .

Def. Let T be a σ -theory and φ be a σ -formula. A (formal) proof from T is a finite sequence $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n)$ of σ -formulas such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, either $\varphi_i \in \text{Axiom}(\sigma) \cup T$ or φ_i is obtained by Modus Ponens (MP) from φ_j and φ_k for some $j, k < i$, in particular $\varphi_k := \varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi_i$.

We say that T proves φ , denoted $T \vdash \varphi$, if there is a proof $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ from T with $\varphi_n = \varphi$. If $T = \emptyset$, we would just write $\vdash \varphi$, and if $T = T' \cup \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_e\}$, we would write $T', \eta_1, \dots, \eta_e \vdash \varphi$.

Obs (Soundness of the proof system). For a σ -theory T and a σ -formula φ , if $T \vdash \varphi$ then $T \models \varphi$.

Proof. Let $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ be a proof of φ from T . Then we show by induction on i that $T \models \varphi_i$. But this follows from the previous observation that Axiom(σ) is satisfied by all σ -structures, T is satisfied by all models of T , and Modus Ponens preserves satisfiability. \square

Examples of formal proofs.

Prop. Let σ be a signature. For all σ -formulas ψ, φ :

(a) $\psi \vdash \psi \rightarrow \varphi$.

(b) $\vdash \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$.

Proof. (a) (1) Axiom (1): $\vdash \varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi)$.

(2) $\varphi \vdash \varphi$

(3) MP (2), (1): $\varphi \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$.

(b) (1) Axiom (2) with $\psi := \theta, \varphi := \theta \rightarrow \theta, \eta := \theta$: $\vdash (\theta \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \theta)) \rightarrow [(\theta \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \theta)]$.

(2) Axiom (1): $\vdash \theta \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \theta)$

(3) MP (2), (1): $\vdash (\theta \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \theta)$

(4) Axiom (1): $\vdash \theta \rightarrow ((\theta \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \theta)$

(5) MP (4), (3): $\vdash \theta \rightarrow \theta$. □